

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/616,599	07/10/2003	William P. Hnat	106684.000001	3471		
27868	7590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER			
JOHN F. SALAZAR MIDDLETON & REUTLINGER			MILLER, T.	MILLER, TAKISHA S		
2500 BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202			2855			
		DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,599	HNAT ET AL.	(EV)
Examiner	Art Unit	
Takisha Miller	2855	

	Takisha Miller	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo	wing replies: (1) an amendment	, affidavit, or other evid	ence, which			
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No						
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The r	eply must be filed withir	one of the			
following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a						
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,,	.,			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mor	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	e filed within the time period se	t forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further co		NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	ter form for appeal by materiall	/ reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally	rainated alaima				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		O	V(DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		-				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable it submitted in a separa	ate, umely liled amendi	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered or b) □	will be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		,,,,				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	••					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-17</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing	a Notice of Anneal will a	not he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	,		,,			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to	the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar		• •				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or atta	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)				
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.		11/11				
	COL	VARD LEFKOWITZ				
		/ /	'n			
		ORY PATENT EXAMINE	ĸ			
	TECHN	OLORY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 13. Other: Amendment filed on 06/14/05 raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.